

# New Clear Free Solutions



## Meeting Minutes & Action Items

Meeting Description	General Discussions	Time & Date:	Oct 10 2013
		Location:	PLGS
Prepared by:	Chris Rouse	Next Meeting:	

### Agenda Details:

Item	Description
1	Follow Ups with Kathleen
2	Discussion with Dereck/Paul

### Attendees & Distribution List:

Name	Role / Responsibility	Organization	Phone	Mobile	Email
Chris Rouse	President/Technical Advisor	New Clear Free Solutions			<a href="mailto:chris_r_31@hotmail.com">chris_r_31@hotmail.com</a>
Kathleen Duguay	Manager Public Affairs	NB Power			
Paul Thompson	Regulatory Affairs Manager	NB Power			
Derek Mullin	Electrical Engineer	NB Power			

### Meeting & Punch List Items

No.	Description	Action By	Due Date	Status
1	Kathleen gave Chris a copy of the event reports(Paper copy) Kathleen said she would send soft copies in the mail on a memory stick	Action/Kathleen		
2	Chris/Kathleen talked about additional reports Chris would like to have. Chris will send email with specifics.	Action/Chris		
3	Chris wants to have meeting to discuss public information program. Has been asking for awhile now.	Action/Kathleen		
4	Chris wants to meet with Sean Granville. Has been asking for awhile now.	Action/Kathleen		
5	Chris asked follow up question from March 7th meeting if NB Power was going to hire an independent consultant to assess the results of the AMEC reports on the safety of Point Lepreau. Derek said they havent made a decision yet. Chris would like NB Power to notify him when they do make a decision. Chris requests that a independant consultant do the assessment instead of NB Power doing it internally.	Action/NB Power		
6	Chris talked with Derek about the methodology used for the assessment of the preliminary results. Chris said it wasn't any kind of accepted methodology, but is ok with it because it was only the preliminary results. Chris requests that the assment using the final results use accepted methodology.	Information		
7	Chris asked what methodology would be be used for the assessment when the AMEC final results are in. Derek said he didn't know. Chris would like to be informed when NB Power makes a decision	Action/NB Power		
8	Chris pointed out that the CNSC did not give NB Power any guidance on the methodolgy for assessing the seismic hazard. Derek agreed the CNSC wasn't very good at giving guidance. Derek said the NRC was MUCH more prescriptive.	Information		
9	Chris recommended the same methodology the NRC is asking the US plants to use in their action plan be used for the assessment of the final results. Chris said he would send a copy to NB Power. Chris also said he would lobby the CNSC for NB Power to use this methodology as well. Mostly because it didn't use a standard shape designed for California Earthquakes but uses a site specific GMRS.	Action/Chris		
10	Chris pointed out that even though it has been stated that NUREG 1407 was used to determine the safety objectives of the PSA based SMA, that AECL did not follow it. Chris thinks this is a violation of the refurbishment contract. Chris has mentioned this to Paul on a previous telephone call.	Information		